

ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES OF THREE-PARTICLE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON LATTICES

SERGIO ALBEVERIO^{1,2,3}, G.F.DELL ANTONIO⁴, SAIDAKHMAT N. LAKAEV^{5,6}

ABSTRACT. We consider the Hamiltonian of a system of three quantum mechanical particles (two identical fermions and boson) on the three-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$ and interacting by means of zero-range attractive potentials. We describe the location and structure of the essential spectrum of the three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator $H_\gamma(K)$, K being the total quasi-momentum and $\gamma > 0$ the ratio of the mass of fermion and boson.

We choose for $\gamma > 0$ the interaction $v(\gamma)$ in such a way the system consisting of one fermion and one boson has a zero energy resonance.

We prove for any $\gamma > 0$ the existence infinitely many eigenvalues of the operator $H_\gamma(0)$.

We establish for the number $N(0, \gamma; z;)$ of eigenvalues lying below $z < 0$ the following asymptotics

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{N(0, \gamma; z)}{|\log |z||} = U(\gamma).$$

Moreover, for all nonzero values of the quasi-momentum $K \in T^3$ we establish the finiteness of the number $N(K, \gamma; \tau_{ess}(K))$ of eigenvalues of $H(K)$ below the bottom of the essential spectrum and we give an asymptotics for the number $N(K, \gamma; 0)$ of eigenvalues below zero.

Subject Classification: Primary: 81Q10, Secondary: 35P20, 47N50

Keywords: Discrete Schrödinger operators, quantum mechanical three-particle systems, Hamiltonians, zero-range potentials, zero energy resonance, eigenvalues, Efimov effect, essential spectrum, asymptotics, lattice systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a system of three particles (two identical fermions and a boson) on the three-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$ interacting by means of zero-range attractive potentials.

The main goal of the present paper is to prove (under the conditions relevant for Efimov's effect) the finiteness or infiniteness of the number of eigenvalues lying below the bottom of the essential spectrum of the three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator $H_\gamma(K)$ depending on the total quasi-momentum $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and the ratio $\gamma > 0$ of the mass of the fermions and the boson.

Efimov's effect is one of the remarkable results in the spectral analysis for continuous and discrete three-particle Schrödinger operators: if none of the three two-particle

Schrödinger operators (corresponding to the two-particle subsystems) has negative eigenvalues, but at least two of them have a zero energy resonance, then this three-particle Schrödinger operator has an infinite number of discrete eigenvalues, accumulating at zero.

Since its discovery by Efimov in 1970 [10] much research have been devoted to this subject. See, for example [1, 5, 6, 11, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29].

The main result obtained by Sobolev [26] (see also [?]) is an asymptotics of the form $\mathcal{U}_0 |\log|\lambda||$ for the number of eigenvalues below λ , $\lambda < 0$, where the coefficient $\mathcal{U}_0$ does not depend on the two-particle potentials v_α and is a positive function of the ratios $m_1/m_2, m_2/m_3$ of the masses of the three-particles.

Recently the existence of Efimov's effect for N -body quantum systems with $N \geq 4$ has been proved by X.P. Wang in [30].

In fact in [30] for the total (reduced) Hamiltonian a lower bound on the number of eigenvalues of the form

$$C_0 |\log(E_0 - \lambda)|$$

is given, when λ tends to E_0 , where C_0 is a positive constant and E_0 is the bottom of the essential spectrum.

The kinematics of quantum particles on lattices, even in the two and three-particle sector, is rather exotic. For instance, due to the fact that the discrete analogue of the Laplacian or its generalizations (see (2.1)) are not translationally invariant, the Hamiltonian of a system does not separate into two parts, one relating to the center-of-mass motion and the other one relating to the internal degrees of freedom.

As a consequence any local substitute of the effective mass-tensor (of a ground state) depends on the quasi-momentum of the system and, in addition, it is only semi-additive (with respect to the partial order on the set of positive definite matrices). This is the so-called *excess mass* phenomenon for lattice systems (see, e.g., [20] and [22]): the effective mass of the bound state of an N -particle system is greater than and in general, not equal to the sum of the effective masses of the constituent quasi-particles.

The three-body problem on lattices can be reduced to three-particle Schrödinger operators by using the Gelfand transform. The underlying Hilbert space $\ell^2((\mathbb{Z}^d)^3)$ is decomposed as a direct von Neumann integral associated with the representation of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}^3$ by shift operators on the lattice and the total three-body Hamiltonian appears to be decomposable. In contrast to the continuous case, the corresponding fiber Hamiltonians $H(K)$ associated with the direct decomposition depend parametrically the quasi-momentum, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3 = (-\pi, \pi]^3$, which ranges over a cell of the dual lattice (see [25]). Due to the loss of the spherical symmetry of the problem, the spectra of the family $H(K)$ turn out to be rather sensitive to the quasi-momentum K .

In particular, Efimov's effect exists only for the zero value of the three-particle quasi-momentum K (see, e.g., [2, 4, 14, 16, 17, 20] for relevant discussions and [3, 7, 8, 13, 20, 21, 22, 24] for the general study of the low-lying excitation spectrum for quantum systems on lattices).

Denote by $\tau(K, \gamma)$ the bottom of the essential spectrum of the three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator $H_\gamma(K)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and by $N(K, \gamma; z)$ the number of eigenvalues lying below $z \leq \tau(K, \gamma)$.

The main results of the present paper are as follows:

(i) for all $\gamma > 0$ the operator $H_\gamma(0)$ has infinitely many eigenvalues below the bottom of the essential spectrum and for the number of eigenvalues $N(0, \gamma; z)$ lying below $z < 0$ the asymptotics

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -0} \frac{N(0, \gamma; z)}{|\log |z||} = U(\gamma), \quad (0 < U(\gamma) < \infty)$$

holds, which is similar to the asymptotics founded in the continuous case by Sobolev (2.7);

(ii) for any $\gamma > 0$ and $K \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ $N(K, \gamma; \tau(K, \gamma))$ is a finite number satisfying the following asymptotics

$$\lim_{|K| \rightarrow 0} \frac{N(K, \gamma; 0)}{|\log |K||} = 2 U(\gamma).$$

This result is characteristic for the lattice system and does not have any analogue in the continuous case.

We underline that these results are in contrast to similar results for the continuous three particle Schrödinger operators, where the number of eigenvalues does not depend on the three-particle total momentum $K \in R^3$.

These results are also in contrast with the results for two-particle operators, in which discrete Schrödinger operators have finitely many eigenvalues for all $k \in U_\delta(0)$, where $U_\delta(0) = \{K \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |K| < \delta\}$ is a δ -neighborhood of the origin.

In the present paper we provide an asymptotics for the Fredholm determinant $\Delta(k, 0)$ resp. $\Delta(0, z)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ resp. $z \rightarrow 0$, which plays a crucial role in the proof of the main results. In particular, it has been proved that $\Delta(k, 0)$ resp. $\Delta(0, z)$ is differentiable in $|k|$ at $k = 0 \in T^3$ resp. in z at $z = 0$.

The result concerning Efimov's effect has been proved in the continuum case (see [26, 28]) using resolvent expansion established in [9].

We note that the operator $H_\gamma(K)$ has been considered before, but only the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues below the bottom of the essential spectrum of $H_\gamma(0)$ has been announced in [18].

The organization of the present paper is as follows.

Section 1 is an introduction.

In Section 2 we introduce the Hamiltonians of systems of two and three-particles in coordinate and momentum representations as bounded self-adjoint operators in the corresponding Hilbert spaces.

In Section 3 we introduce the total quasi-momentum and decompose the energy operators into von Neumann direct integrals, choosing relative coordinate systems.

In Section 4 we state the main results of the paper.

In Section 5 we study spectral properties of the two-particle discrete Schrödinger operator $\tilde{h}_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$. We prove the existence of a unique positive eigenvalue below the bottom of the continuous spectrum of $\tilde{h}_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ (Theorem 4.3) and obtain an asymptotics for Fredholm's determinant associated with $\tilde{h}_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$.

In Section 6 we introduce the "channel operators" and describe its spectrum by the spectrum of the two-particle discrete Schrödinger operators. Applying a Faddeev's type

integral equation we establish the precise location of the essential spectrum (Theorem 4.4).

In Section 6 we prove the finiteness of eigenvalues below the bottom of the essential spectrum of $H(K)$ for $K \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ (Theorem 4.5).

In section 7 we derive the asymptotics for the number of eigenvalues $N(0, \gamma; z)$ resp. $N(K, \gamma; 0)$ of $H_\gamma(0)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ resp. $H_\gamma(K)$ as $|K| \rightarrow 0$ (Theorem 4.6).

Throughout the present paper we adopt the following notations: We denote by $\mathbb{T}^3$ the three-dimensional torus, i.e., the cube $(-\pi, \pi]^3$ with appropriately identified sides. The torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ will always be considered as an abelian group with respect to the addition and multiplication by real numbers regarded as operations on the three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^3$ modulo $(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$.

For each $\delta > 0$ the notation $U_\delta(0) = \{K \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |K| < \delta\}$ stands for a δ -neighborhood of the origin and $U_\delta^0(0) = U_\delta(0) \setminus \{0\}$ for a punctured δ -neighborhood. The subscript α (and also β and γ) always runs from 1 to 3 and we use the convention $\alpha \neq \beta, \beta \neq \gamma, \gamma \neq \alpha$.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENERGY OPERATORS OF TWO AND THREE PARTICLES ON A LATTICE

Let $\mathbb{Z}^3$ be the three-dimensional lattice and let $(\mathbb{Z}^3)^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the Cartesian m -th power of $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Denote by $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$ the Hilbert space of square-summable functions $\hat{\psi}$ defined on $(\mathbb{Z}^3)^3$ and let $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3) \subset \ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$ be the subspace of functions antisymmetric with respect to the first two coordinates.

We consider a system of three-particles (two identical fermions and boson) moving on the three-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Each fermions are interact with the boson via a zero-range pair attractive potential μ . The free Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_\gamma^0$ of this system in the coordinate representation is an operator on the Hilbert space $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$ of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\hat{H}_\gamma^0 \hat{\psi})(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \\ &\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{\varepsilon}(s) [\hat{\psi}(x_1 + s, x_2, x_3) + \hat{\psi}(x_1, x_2 + s, x_3) + \gamma \hat{\psi}(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s)], \\ &\hat{\psi} \in \ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3) \end{aligned}$$

where the function $\hat{\varepsilon}(s)$ defined on $\mathbb{Z}^3$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{\varepsilon}(s) = \begin{cases} 3, & s = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & |s| = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the number $\gamma > 0$ being the ratio of the mass of the fermions to that of boson.

It is clear that the free Hamiltonian (2.1) is a bounded self-adjoint operator on $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$.

The three-particle Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mu, \gamma}$ of the quantum-mechanical three-particle system is a bounded perturbation of the free Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_\gamma^0$

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{H}_{\mu, \gamma} = \hat{H}_\gamma^0 - \mu(\hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2).$$

Here $\widehat{V}_\alpha = \widehat{V}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$ is a multiplication operator on $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$

$$(\widehat{V}_\alpha \widehat{\psi})(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \delta_{x_\alpha x_3} \widehat{\psi}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad \widehat{\psi} \in \ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3),$$

where $\delta_{x_\alpha x_3}$ is the Kronecker delta.

The Hamiltonian $\hat{h}_{\mu, \gamma}$ describing a subsystem consisting of a fermion and a boson is introduced as a bounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^2)$

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{h}_{\mu, \gamma} = \hat{h}_\gamma^0 - \mu \hat{v},$$

where

$$(\hat{h}_\gamma^0 \widehat{\psi})(x_\alpha, x_3) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{\varepsilon}(s) [\widehat{\psi}(x_\alpha + s, x_3) + \gamma \widehat{\psi}(x_\alpha, x_3 + s)], \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad \widehat{\psi} \in \ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^2)$$

and

$$(\hat{v} \widehat{\psi})(x_\alpha, x_3) = \delta_{x_\alpha x_3} \widehat{\psi}(x_\alpha, x_3), \quad \widehat{\psi} \in \ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^2).$$

2.1. The momentum representation. Let $L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ be the subspace of $L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ consisting of functions which are antisymmetric in the first two coordinates, where $(\mathbb{T}^3)^m$ denotes the Cartesian m -th power of $\mathbb{T}^3 = (-\pi, \pi]^3$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_m : L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^m) \rightarrow \ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the standard Fourier transform.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_3^a$ the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_3$ to the subspace $L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{F}_3^a : L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3) \rightarrow \ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^2)$. In the momentum representation the three-resp. two-particle Hamiltonians are given by the bounded self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space $L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ resp. $L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$

$$H_{\mu, \gamma} = (\mathcal{F}_3^a)^{-1} \hat{H}_{\mu, \gamma} \mathcal{F}_3^a$$

resp.

$$h_{\mu, \gamma} = (\mathcal{F}_2)^{-1} \hat{h}_{\mu, \gamma} \mathcal{F}_2.$$

One has

$$(2.5) \quad H_{\mu, \gamma} = H_\gamma^0 - \mu(V_1 + V_2),$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad (H_\gamma^0 f)(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (\varepsilon(k_1) + \varepsilon(k_2) + \gamma \varepsilon(k_3)) f(k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad f \in L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3),$$

$$(2.7) \quad (V_\alpha f)(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (V f)(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{(\mathbb{T}^3)^3} \delta(k_\alpha - k'_\alpha) \delta(k_\beta + k_3 - k'_\beta - k'_3) f(k'_1, k'_2, k'_3) dk'_1 dk'_2 dk'_3,$$

$$f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^3), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

The function ε is of the form

$$(2.8) \quad \varepsilon(p) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (1 - \cos p^{(i)}), \quad p = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, p^{(3)}) \in \mathbb{T}^3$$

and $\delta(k)$ denotes the three-dimensional Dirac delta-function.

The two-particle Hamiltonian $h_{\mu, \gamma}$ is of the form:

$$(2.9) \quad h_{\mu,\gamma} = h_\gamma^0 - \mu v,$$

$$(h_\gamma^0 f)(k_\alpha, k_3) = (\varepsilon(k_\alpha) + \gamma \varepsilon(k_3)) f(k_\alpha, k_3), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$$

and the operator v can be written in the form

$$(vf)(k_\alpha, k_3) = (v_\alpha f)(k_\alpha, k_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{(\mathbb{T}^3)^2} \delta(k_\alpha + k_3 - k'_\alpha - k'_3) f(k'_\alpha, k'_3) dk'_\alpha dk'_3, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2).$$

3. DECOMPOSITION OF THE ENERGY OPERATORS INTO VON NEUMANN DIRECT INTEGRALS. QUASIMOMENTUM AND COORDINATE SYSTEMS

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\hat{U}_s^m$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, the unitary operators on the Hilbert space $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^m)$ defined by

$$(\hat{U}_s^m f)(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) = f(n_1 + s, n_2 + s, \dots, n_m + s), \quad f \in \ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^m).$$

We easily see that

$$\hat{U}_{s+p}^m = \hat{U}_s^m \hat{U}_p^m, \quad s, p \in \mathbb{Z}^3,$$

that is, $\hat{U}_s^m$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ is a unitary representation of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^3$ in $\ell_2((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$. Denote by $\hat{U}_{as}^m$ the restriction of $\hat{U}_s^m$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ to the subspace $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$. Via the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_3^a$ the unitary representation $\hat{U}_{as}^3$ of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ in $\ell_2^a((\mathbb{Z}^3)^3)$ induces the representation U_{as}^3 of the group $\mathbb{Z}^3$ in the Hilbert space $L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ by the unitary (multiplication) operators

$$(U_{as}^3 f)(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \exp(-i(s, k_1 + k_2 + k_3)) f(k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \quad f \in L_2^a((\mathbb{T}^3)^3).$$

For any $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ we define $\mathbb{F}_K^3$ as follows

$$\mathbb{F}_K^3 = \{(k_1, k_2, K - k_1 - k_2) \in (\mathbb{T}^3)^3 : k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{T}^3\}.$$

Let $L_2^a(\mathbb{F}_K^3)$ be the subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{F}_K^3)$ consisting of functions which are antisymmetric in the first two coordinates.

Since the Hamiltonian $H_{\mu,\gamma}$ commutes with the group U_{as}^3 , $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ the decomposition

$$L_2^{(a)}((\mathbb{T}^3)^3) = \int_{K \in \mathbb{T}^3} \oplus L_2^{(a)}(\mathbb{F}_K^3) dK$$

of $L_2^{(a)}((\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ into the direct integral yields the corresponding decompositions of the unitary representation U_{as}^3 , $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and the operator $H_{\mu,\gamma}$ into the direct integral

$$U_{as}^3 = \int_{K \in \mathbb{T}^3} \oplus U_{as}^3(K) dK,$$

$$H_{\mu,\gamma} = \int_{K \in \mathbb{T}^3} \oplus \tilde{H}_{\mu,\gamma}(K) dK,$$

where

$$U_s^{(a)}(K) = \exp(-i(s, K))I \quad \text{on} \quad L_2^{(a)}(\mathbb{F}_K^3)$$

and $I = I_{L_2(\mathbb{F}_K^m)}$ denotes the identity operator on the Hilbert space $L_2^{(a)}(\mathbb{F}_K^m)$.

The Hamiltonian $h_{\mu,\gamma}$ commutes with the group U_s^2 , $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and hence the operator $h_{\mu,\gamma}$ can be decomposed into the direct integral

$$h_{\mu,\gamma} = \int_{k \in \mathbb{T}^3} \oplus \tilde{h}_{\mu,\gamma}(k) dk,$$

with respect to the decomposition

$$L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2) = \int_{k \in \mathbb{T}^3} \oplus L_2(\mathbb{F}_k^2) dk,$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}_k^2 = \{(k_1, k - k_1) \in (\mathbb{T}^3)^2 : k_1 \in \mathbb{T}^3\}.$$

Now we introduce the mappings

$$\pi_{\alpha 3}^{(3)} : (\mathbb{T}^3)^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^3)^2, \quad \pi_{\alpha 3}^{(3)}((k_\alpha, k_\beta, k_3)) = (k_\alpha, k_3), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \alpha \neq \beta,$$

and

$$\pi_\alpha^{(2)} : (\mathbb{T}^3)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3, \quad \pi_\alpha^{(2)}((k_\alpha, k_3)) = k_\alpha.$$

Denote by $\pi_K^{(3)}$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ resp. $\pi_k^{(2)}$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the restriction of $\pi_{\alpha 3}^{(3)}$ resp. $\pi_\alpha^{(2)}$ onto $\mathbb{F}_K^3 \subset (\mathbb{T}^3)^3$ resp. $\mathbb{F}_k^2 \subset (\mathbb{T}^3)^2$, that is,

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_K^{(3)} = \pi_{\alpha 3}^{(3)}|_{\mathbb{F}_K^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_k^{(2)} = \pi_\alpha^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{F}_k^2}.$$

At this point it is useful to remark that $\mathbb{F}_K^3$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $\mathbb{F}_k^2$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ are six and three-dimensional manifolds isomorphic to $(\mathbb{T}^3)^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^3$, respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *The mappings $\pi_K^{(3)}$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ resp. $\pi_k^{(2)}$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is bijective from $\mathbb{F}_K^3 \subset (\mathbb{T}^3)^3$ resp. $\mathbb{F}_k^2 \subset (\mathbb{T}^3)^2$ onto $(\mathbb{T}^3)^2$ resp. $\mathbb{T}^3$ with the inverse mappings given by*

$$(\pi_K^{(3)})^{-1}(k_\alpha, k_3) = (k_\alpha, k_3, K - k_\alpha - k_3)$$

resp.

$$(\pi_k^{(2)})^{-1}(k_\alpha) = (k_\alpha, k - k_\alpha).$$

□

Let

$$U_K : L_2(\mathbb{F}_K^3) \rightarrow L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2), \quad U_K f = f \circ (\pi_K^{(3)})^{-1}, \quad K \in \mathbb{T}^3,$$

and

$$u_k : L_2(\mathbb{F}_k^2) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^3), \quad u_k g = g \circ (\pi_k^{(2)})^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}^3,$$

where $\pi_K^{(3)}$ and $\pi_k^{(2)}$ are defined by (3.1). Then U_K and u_k are unitary operators.

Let

$$H_{\mu,\gamma} = U_K \tilde{H}_{\mu,\gamma}(K) (U_K)^{-1}, \quad h_{\mu,\gamma} = u_k \tilde{h}_{\mu,\gamma}(k) (u_k)^{-1}.$$

The operator $h_{\mu,\gamma}(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is of the form

$$(3.2) \quad h_{\mu,\gamma}(k) = h_\gamma^0(k) - \mu v,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad h_\gamma^0(k)f(q) = \mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(q)f(q), \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^3),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(q) = \varepsilon(q) + \gamma\varepsilon(k - q),$$

$$(3.5) \quad (vf)(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(q') dq', \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^3).$$

The operator $H_{\mu,\gamma}(K)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is of the form

$$H_{\mu,\gamma}(K) = H_\gamma^0(K) - 2\mu V$$

and in the coordinates (k_α, k_3) the operators $H_\gamma^0(K)$ and V are defined by

$$(H_\gamma^0(K)f)(k_\alpha, k_3) = E(K, \gamma; k_\alpha, k_3)f(k_\alpha, k_3), \quad f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2),$$

$$(3.6) \quad (Vf)(k_\alpha, k_3) = (V_\alpha f)(k_\alpha, k_3) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(k_\alpha, k'_3) dk'_3, \quad f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2),$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad E(K, \gamma; k_\alpha, k_3) = \varepsilon(k_\alpha) + \varepsilon(k_3) + \gamma\varepsilon(K - k_\alpha - k_3), \quad k_\alpha, k_3 \in \mathbb{T}^3.$$

Remark 3.2. Since v is positive its square root $v^{\frac{1}{2}}$ exists and the decomposition

$$L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2) = L_2(\mathbb{T}^3) \otimes L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$$

yields the representation $V^{\frac{1}{2}} = I \otimes v^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the operator $V^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

4. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we give the precise formulation of the main results.

Set

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_0 = (2\pi)^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\varepsilon(p))^{-1} dp \right)^{-1}$$

and fix uniquely

$$(4.2) \quad v(\gamma) = \mu_0(1 + \gamma).$$

We introduce the family of the two-particle operators $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ depending on $k \in \mathbb{T}^3, \gamma > 0$

$$(4.3) \quad \hbar_\gamma(k) \equiv h_{v(\gamma), \gamma}(k).$$

Definition 4.1. The operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ is said to have a zero energy resonance if the number 1 is an eigenvalue for the operator

$$(4.4) \quad G_\gamma = v^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hbar_\gamma(0))^{-1}v^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the associated eigenfunction ψ satisfies the following condition $(v^{1/2}\psi)(0) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can always normalize $(v^{1/2}\psi)(0)$ so that $(v^{1/2}\psi)(0) = 1$.

Remark 4.2. If ψ is eigenfunction of G_γ associated to eigenvalue 1 then the function

$$f(p) = (v^{1/2}\psi)(p)(\varepsilon(p))^{-1}$$

is a simple solution (up to a constant factor) of the equation $\hbar_\gamma(0)f = 0$.

If $v^{1/2}\psi(0) \neq 0$ from

$$\varepsilon(p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 + O(|p|^4) \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow 0$$

we conclude that the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ has a zero energy resonance precisely if G_γ has 1 as eigenvalue and the associated eigenfunction ψ satisfy $v^{1/2}\psi(0) \neq 0$.

Let $H_\gamma(K)$ be the family of the three-particle operators defined by

$$(4.5) \quad H_\gamma(K) \equiv H_{v(\gamma),\gamma}(K).$$

We recall that the main goal of the paper is to study spectral properties of the operators $H_\gamma(K)$ depending on the parameters $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ (the three-particle quasi-momentum) and $\gamma > 0$ (ratio of the mass of the fermions and the boson).

For each $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $\gamma > 0$ we set:

$$E_{\min}(K, \gamma) = \min_{p,q \in \mathbb{T}^3} E(K, \gamma; p, q), \quad E_{\max}(K, \gamma) = \max_{p,q \in \mathbb{T}^3} E(K, \gamma; p, q).$$

The main results of the present paper are as follows.

Theorem 4.3. For any $\gamma > 0$ the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ has a zero-energy resonance. For all $k \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ has a unique positive eigenvalue $z_\gamma(k)$ below the bottom of the essential spectrum of $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ and $z_\gamma(k)$ is even and real-analytic in $\mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\tau(K, \gamma) = \inf_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} \{z_\gamma(K - p) + \varepsilon(p)\}$. We denote by $N(K, \gamma; z)$ the number of eigenvalues of $H_\gamma(K)$ below $z \leq \tau(K, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4.4. For the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\gamma(K))$ of $H_\gamma(K)$ the following equality

$$(4.6) \quad \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_\gamma(K)) = [\tau(K, \gamma), E_{\max}(K, \gamma)]$$

holds.

In the following theorems we describe precisely the dependence of the number of eigenvalues of $H_\gamma(K)$ lying below the bottom of the essential spectrum $\tau(K, \gamma)$ on the parameters $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 4.5. For any $\gamma > 0$ and $K \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ the operator $H_\gamma(K)$ has a finite number of eigenvalues lying below $\tau(K, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4.6. For any $\gamma > 0$ the operator $H_\gamma(0)$ has infinitely many eigenvalues lying below the bottom of the essential spectrum. The function $N(0, \gamma; z)$ resp. $N(K, \gamma; 0)$ obeys the relation

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{N(0, \gamma; z)}{|\log |z||} = U(\gamma) > 0,$$

resp.

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{|K| \rightarrow 0} \frac{N(K, \gamma; 0)}{|\log |K||} = 2 U(\gamma).$$

Remark 4.7. Clearly, the infinitude of the negative discrete spectrum of $H_\gamma(0)$ follows automatically from the strict positivity of $U(\gamma)$.

5. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE TWO-PARTICLE OPERATOR $\hbar_\gamma(k)$

In this section we study some spectral properties of the two-particle discrete Schrödinger operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ defined by (4.3).

The perturbation v of the multiplication operator $h_\gamma^0(k)$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator of rank one. Therefore in accordance to Weyl's theorem the essential spectrum of $\hbar_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$, fills the following interval on the real axis:

$$\sigma_{ess}(\hbar_\gamma(k)) = [m(k, \gamma), M(k, \gamma)],$$

where

$$m(k, \gamma) = \min_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(p), \quad M(k, \gamma) = \max_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(p)$$

and the function $\mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(p)$ is defined by (3.4).

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. For any $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m(k, \gamma), M(k, \gamma)]$, we define a function (the Fredholm determinant associated with the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$)

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta_\gamma(k, z) = 1 - \frac{v(\gamma)}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(q) - z)^{-1} dq,$$

where $v(\gamma)$ is defined in (4.2) and $\gamma > 0$.

Note that the function $\Delta_\gamma(k, z)$ is real-analytic in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus [m(k, \gamma), M(k, \gamma)])$.

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the Birman-Schwinger principle and Fredholm's theorem.

Lemma 5.1. *For any $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $\gamma > 0$ a point $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m(k, \gamma), M(k, \gamma)]$, is an eigenvalue of the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ if and only if $\Delta_\gamma(k, z) = 0$.*

□

Let

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta_\gamma(0, 0) = 1 - \frac{v(\gamma)}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{E}_{0, \gamma}(q)^{-1} dq.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} \Delta_\gamma(0, z) = \Delta_\gamma(0, 0).$$

The following Lemma is evident

Lemma 5.2. (see[4]). *For any $\gamma > 0$ the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ has a zero-energy resonance if and only if $\Delta_\gamma(0, 0) = 0$.*

□

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.*for any $\gamma > 0$ for the equation

$$(5.3) \quad G_\gamma = v^{\frac{1}{2}} (\hbar_\gamma(0))^{-1} v^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

the *const.* $\neq 0$ is the nonzero solution and hence by Definition 5.2 we can conclude that the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ has a zero-energy resonance.

Case 1. Let either $\gamma \neq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ or $\gamma = 1$ and $k \in (-\pi, \pi)^3$.

The function $\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p) = 3(1 + \gamma) - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + 2\gamma \cos k^{(j)} + \gamma^2} \cos(p_j - p_\gamma(k^{(j)})),$$

where

$$(5.5) \quad p_\gamma(k^{(j)}) = \arcsin \frac{\gamma \sin k^{(j)}}{\sqrt{1 + 2\gamma \cos k^{(j)} + \gamma^2}}, \quad k^{(j)} \in (-\pi, \pi], \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Taking into account (5.4) we have that the vector-function

$$p_\gamma : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3, \quad p_\gamma(k) = p_\gamma(k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, k^{(3)}) = (p_\gamma(k^{(1)}), p_\gamma(k^{(2)}), p_\gamma(k^{(3)})) \in \mathbb{T}^3$$

is odd and regular in $(-\pi, \pi)^3$ and $\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p)$ reaches the minima on it. One has, as easily seen from the definition,

$$(5.6) \quad p_\gamma(k) = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} k + O(|k|^3) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover from (5.4) it follows that

$$(5.7) \quad m(k, \gamma) = \mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p_\gamma(k)) = 3(1 + \gamma) - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + 2\gamma \cos k^{(j)} + \gamma^2}.$$

By Lemma 5.2

$$\Delta_\gamma(0, 0) = 1 - \frac{\mu_0}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\varepsilon(q))^{-1} dq = 0.$$

Since for any $\gamma > 0$ the function $\Delta_\gamma(0, z)$ is monotone decreasing on $(-\infty, m(k, \gamma))$ for any $z < 0$ the inequality $\Delta_\gamma(0, z) > 0$ holds. By Lemma 5.1 the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ does not have any negative spectrum. Thus the operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ is positive.

Since $p = p_\gamma(k)$ is the non-degenerate minimum of the function $\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p)$ the function $(\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(p) - m(k, \gamma))^{-1}$ is integrable and we define $\Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma))$ by

$$\Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma)) = 1 - \frac{(1 + \gamma)\mu_0}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(q) - m(k, \gamma))^{-1} dq.$$

By dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow m(k, \gamma) - 0} \Delta_\gamma(k, z) = \Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma)).$$

From the representations (5.4) and (5.7) it follows that for all $k \neq 0$, $q \neq 0$ the inequality

$$\mathcal{E}_{k,\gamma}(q + p_\gamma(k)) - m(k, \gamma) < \mathcal{E}_{0,\gamma}(q)$$

holds and hence we obtain the following inequality

$$(5.8) \quad \Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma)) < \Delta_\gamma(0, 0) = 0, \quad k \neq 0.$$

For each $\gamma > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the function $\Delta_\gamma(k, \cdot)$ is continuous monotone decreasing on $(-\infty, m(k, \gamma))$ and $\Delta_\gamma(k, z) \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore by virtue of (5.8) there is a unique number $z_\gamma(k) \in (-\infty, m(k, \gamma))$ such that $\Delta_\gamma(k, z_\gamma(k)) = 0$. By Lemma 5.1 for any nonzero $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ has a unique eigenvalue below $m(k, \gamma)$. For any $\gamma > 0$ and $z \in (-\infty, m(k, \gamma)]$ the equality $\Delta_\gamma(-k, z) = \Delta_\gamma(k, z)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ holds. Hence $z_\gamma(k)$ is even.

Let us prove the positivity of the eigenvalue $z_\gamma(k)$, $k \neq 0$. First we verify, for all $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $k \neq 0$, the inequality

$$(5.9) \quad \Delta_\gamma(k, 0) > 0.$$

We have

$$(5.10) \quad \Delta_\gamma(k, 0) = \frac{\mu_0}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\gamma(\varepsilon(k - q) - \varepsilon(q))}{\varepsilon(q) \mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(q)} dq.$$

Making a change of variables $q = \frac{k}{2} - p$ in (5.12) and using the equality $\Delta_\gamma(k, 0) = \Delta_\gamma(-k, 0)$ it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\gamma(k, 0) &= \frac{\Delta_\gamma(k, 0) + \Delta_\gamma(-k, 0)}{2} = \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \gamma(\varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} + p) - \varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} - p))^2 F(k, p) dp, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(k, p) = \frac{\varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} + p) + \varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} - p)}{\varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} + p)\varepsilon(\frac{k}{2} - p)\mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(\frac{k}{2} + p)\mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(\frac{k}{2} - p)} > 0.$$

Thus the inequality (5.9) is proven.

For any $\gamma > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the function $\Delta_\gamma(k, \cdot)$ is monotone decreasing and the inequalities

$$\Delta_\gamma(k, 0) > \Delta_\gamma(k, z_\gamma(k)) = 0 > \Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma)), \quad k \neq 0$$

hold. Therefore the eigenvalue $z_\gamma(k)$ of the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$ belongs to the interval $(0, m(k, \gamma))$.

Case 2. Let $\gamma = 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus (-\pi, \pi)^3$. In this case if we take into account the equality

$$\frac{(1 + \gamma)\mu_0}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(q) - m(k, \gamma))^{-1} dq = -\infty,$$

then the proof of Theorem 4.3 going on by the same way as Case 1.

The function $\Delta_\gamma(k, z)$ is analytic in $(k, z) \in (\mathbb{T}^3) \times (-\infty, 0)$ and hence $z(k)$ as a unique solution of the equation

$$\Delta_\gamma(k, z) = 0$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{T}^3$.

□

The following decomposition is important for the proof of the main results (4.7) and (4.8).

Lemma 5.3. *For any $\gamma > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $z \leq m(k, \gamma)$ the following decomposition holds:*

$$\Delta_\gamma(k, z) = \frac{v(\gamma) \gamma^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi (1 + \gamma)^{3/2}} [m(k, \gamma) - z]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta_\gamma^{(20)}(m(k, \gamma) - z),$$

where $[m(k, \gamma) - z]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$ for $m(k, \gamma) - z > 0$ and $\Delta_\gamma^{(20)}(m(k, \gamma) - z) = O(m(k, \gamma) - z)$ as $z \rightarrow m(k, \gamma)$.

Proof. Let

$$E_\gamma(k, p) = \mathcal{E}_{k, \gamma}(p + p_\gamma(k)) - m(k, \gamma).$$

Then using (5.4) we conclude

$$E_\gamma(k, p) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + 2\gamma \cos k_j + \gamma^2 (1 - \cos p_j)}.$$

We define the function $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, \omega)$ on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{C}_+$ by $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, \omega) = \Delta_\gamma(k, m(k, \gamma) - \omega^2)$, where $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. The function $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, \omega)$ can be represented in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, \omega) &= 1 - v(\gamma)(2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{dp}{E_\gamma(k, p) + \omega^2} = \\ &= 1 - v(\gamma)(2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{dp}{\sum_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + 2\gamma \cos k_j + \gamma^2 (1 - \cos p_j)} + \omega^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $V_\delta(0)$ be the complex δ -neighborhood of the point $\omega = 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\Delta_\gamma^*(k, \omega)$ the analytic continuation of the function $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, \omega)$ to the region $\mathbb{T}^3 \times (\mathbb{C}_+ \cup V_\delta(0))$ (see [15]). The function $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(\cdot, \omega)$ is even in $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$. A Taylor series expansion gives

$$\Delta_\gamma^*(k, \omega) = \tilde{\Delta}_\gamma^{(01)}(k, 0) \omega + \tilde{\Delta}_\gamma^{(02)}(k, \omega) \omega^2,$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma^{(02)}(k, \omega) = O(1)$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$. Then a simple computation shows that

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{\partial \Delta_\gamma^*(0, 0)}{\partial \omega} = \tilde{\Delta}_\gamma^{(01)}(0, 0) = \frac{v(\gamma) \gamma^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi (1 + \gamma)^{3/2}} \neq 0.$$

The equality $m(k, \gamma) - z = \omega^2$ yields the proof of Lemma. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *The function $z_\alpha(k) = m(k, \gamma) - w_\gamma^2(k)$ is real-analytic in $\mathbb{T}^3$, where $w_\alpha(k)$ is a unique simple solution of the equation $\Delta_\gamma^*(k, \omega) = 0$ and $w_\alpha(k) = O(|k|^2)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. The equation $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(k, w) = 0$ has a unique simple solution $w_\alpha(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and it is real-analytic in $\mathbb{T}^3$. Taking into account that the function $\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, w)$ is even in $k \in U_\delta(0)$, $\delta > 0$ and $w_\gamma(0) = 0$ we have that $w_\gamma(k) = O(|k|^2)$. Therefore the function $z_\gamma(k) = E_{\min}^{(\gamma)}(k) - w_\gamma^2(k)$ is real-analytic in $U_\delta(0)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *For any $k \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a number $\delta(k) > 0$ such that, for all $z \in V_{\delta(k)}(z_\gamma(k))$, where $V_{\delta(k)}(z_\gamma(k))$ is the $\delta(k)$ -neighborhood of the point $z_\gamma(k)$, the following representation holds*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z) = C_1(k)(z - z_\gamma(k))\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z).$$

Here $C_1(k) \neq 0$ and $\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z)$ is regular in $V_{\delta(k)}(z_\gamma(k))$ and $\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z_\gamma(k)) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $z_\gamma(k) < m(k, \gamma)$, $k \neq 0$ the function $\Delta_\gamma(k, z)$ is regular in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [m(k, \gamma); M(k, \gamma)]$. Hence for some $\delta(k) > 0$ it can be expanded as

$$\Delta_\gamma(k, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(k)(z - z_\gamma(k))^n, \quad z \in V_{\delta(k)}(z_\gamma(k)),$$

where

$$C_1(k) = \frac{\mu_\gamma^o m_{\beta\gamma}^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m(k, \gamma) - z_\gamma(k)}} \neq 0, \quad k \neq 0.$$

Clearly, that $\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z)$ is regular in $V_{\delta(k)}(z_\gamma(k))$. Since $z_\gamma(k)$, $k \neq 0$ is a unique simple solution of the equation $\Delta_\gamma(k, z) = 0$, $z < m(k, \gamma)$, we have $\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(k, z_\alpha(k)) \neq 0$. $\square$

6. THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF $H_\gamma(K)$ AND CHANNEL OPERATORS

Recall that we consider a three-particle system consisting of two identical fermions and boson. The fermions interact with boson via a zero-range pair attractive potential. Therefore we have only one non-trivial channel operator $H_\gamma^{ch}(K)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ acting on $L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ as

$$(6.1) \quad (H_\gamma^{ch}(K)f)(p, q) = E(K, \gamma; p, q)f(p, q) - \frac{v(\gamma)}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(p, q') dq',$$

where $E(K, \gamma; p, q)$ is defined by (3.7).

Since the operator $H_\gamma^{ch}(K)$ commutes with the group $\{U_s^{(2)}, s \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$ of the unitary operators

$$(U_s^{(2)}f)(p, q) = \exp\{-i(s, p)\} f(p, q), \quad f \in L_2^{(a)}((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$$

the decomposition of the Hilbert space $L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ into the direct integral

$$L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \oplus L_2(\mathbb{T}^3) dp$$

yields the decomposition

$$H_\gamma^{ch}(K) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \oplus H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p) dp.$$

The fiber operator $H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p)$ acts in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ by

$$(6.2) \quad H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p) = \hbar_\gamma(K - p) + \varepsilon(p) I_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$$

where $I_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$ is identity operator on $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $h_\gamma(k)$ is the two-particle operator defined by (4.3).

The representation 6.2 of the operator $H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p)$ implies the equality

$$(6.3) \quad \sigma(H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p)) = \{z_\gamma(K - p) + \varepsilon(p)\} \cup [m(K - p, \gamma) + \varepsilon(p), M(K - p, \gamma) + \varepsilon(p)].$$

Remark 6.1. We note that the point $z_\gamma(0) + \varepsilon(0) = 0$ is a zero energy resonance of the operator $H_\gamma^{ch}(0, 0)$.

The Theorem (see, e.g., [25]) on the spectrum of decomposable operators and the structure (6.3) of the spectrum of $H_\gamma^{ch}(K, p)$ obtained above lead to the following results:

Lemma 6.2. *The equality*

$$(6.4) \quad \sigma(H_\gamma^{ch}(K)) = [\tau(K, \gamma), E_{max}(K, \gamma)]$$

holds, where $E_{max}(K, \gamma)$ is the maximum value of the function $E(K, \gamma; p, q)$.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $K \in T^3$ the following inequality*

$$\tau(K, \gamma) < E_{min}(K, \gamma)$$

holds.

Theorem 6.4. *For the essential spectrum $\sigma_{ess}(H_\gamma(K))$ of $H_\gamma(K)$ the equality*

$$\sigma(H_\gamma^{ch}(K)) = \sigma_{ess}(H_\gamma(K))$$

holds.

The proof of Theorem 6.4 is similar to the one of Theorem 4.3 in [4].

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Theorem 4.4 follows easily from Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.4. yozish kerak $\square$

Let $W_\gamma(K, z)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $z < \tau(K, \gamma)$ be the operators on $L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ defined as

$$W_\gamma(K, z) = I + V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^{ch}(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $R_\gamma^{ch}(K, z)$ is the resolvent of $H_\gamma^{ch}(K)$. One checks that

$$W_\gamma(K, z) = (I - V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1},$$

where $R_\gamma^0(K, z)$ the resolvent of the operator $H_\gamma^0(K)$.

Note that for all $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $z < \tau(K, \gamma)$ the operators $W_\gamma(K, z)$ are positive.

Denote by

$$\mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z), \quad K \in \mathbb{T}^3, \quad z < \tau(K, \gamma)$$

the operator in $L_2^{(a)}((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$ defined by

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z) = 2W_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} W_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z).$$

For any bounded self-adjoint operator A acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ not having any essential spectrum on the right of the point z we denote by $\mathcal{H}_A(z)$ the subspace such that $(Af, f) > z(f, f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_A(z)$ and set $n(z, A) = \sup_{\mathcal{H}_A(z)} \dim \mathcal{H}_A(z)$.

By the definition of $N(K, \gamma, z)$ we have

$$N(K, \gamma, z) = n(-z, -H_\gamma(K)), \quad -z > -\tau(K, \gamma).$$

The following lemma is a realization of the well known Birman-Schwinger principle for the three-particle Schrödinger operators on a lattice (see [26, 28]).

Lemma 6.5. *For $z < \tau(K, \gamma)$ the operator $\mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)$ is compact and continuous in z and*

$$N(K, \gamma, z) = n(1, \mathbf{T}(K, z)).$$

Proof. We first verify the equality

$$(6.6) \quad N(K, \gamma, z) = n(1, 2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Assume that $u \in \mathcal{H}_{-H_\gamma(K)}(-z)$, that is, $((H_\gamma^0(K) - z)u, u) < 2(Vu, u)$. Then

$$(y, y) < 2(R_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z) V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} y, y), \quad y = (H_\gamma^0(K) - z)^{\frac{1}{2}} u.$$

Thus $N(K, \gamma, z) \leq n(1, 2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Reversing the argument we get the opposite inequality, which proves (6.6). Any nonzero eigenvalue of $(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an eigenvalue for $V^{\frac{1}{2}} (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as well, of the same algebraic and geometric multiplicities.

Therefore we get

$$n(1, 2R_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z) V R_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z)) = n(1, 2V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Let us check that

$$n(1, 2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}) = n(1, \mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)).$$

We shall show that for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_{2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1)$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)}(1)$ such that $(y, y) < (\mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)y, y)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1)$ that is,

$$(u, u) < 2(V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} u, u)$$

and hence

$$(6.7) \quad ((I - V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}})u, u) < (V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} u, u).$$

Denoting by $y = (I - V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} u$ we have

$$(y, y) < 2(W_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} W_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(K, z)y, y),$$

that is, $(y, y) \leq (\mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)y, y)$. Thus $n(1, 2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq n(1, \mathbf{T}(K, z))$.

In the same way one checks that $n(1, \mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)) \leq n(1, 2(R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} V (R_\gamma^0(K, z))^{\frac{1}{2}})$. $\square$

Remark 6.6. On the left hand side of (6.7) the operator $V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is a partial integral operator, since the operator

$$V^{\frac{1}{2}} f(k_\alpha, k_3) = V_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} f(k_\alpha, k_3) = (I \otimes v^{\frac{1}{2}}) f(k_\alpha, k_3)$$

is written in the coordinate (k_α, k_3) , that is, it is an integral operator with respect to k_3 .

The right hand side of (6.7) can be written as $V_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where the operator $V = V_\alpha$ is written in coordinate (k_α, k_3) , that is, it is integral operator with respect to k_3 . But the operator $V = V_3$ is written in the coordinates (k_3, k_α) , that is, it is an integral operator with respect to k_α and hence the operator $V^{\frac{1}{2}} R_\gamma^0(K, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on the right hand side of (6.7) is an integral operator in all variables.

7. ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES OF $H_\gamma(K)$

In this section we shall prove Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 7.1. *The equality*

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{\frac{|K|^2}{M_\gamma} + |z| \rightarrow 0} \frac{n(1, \mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z))}{|\log(\frac{|K|^2}{M_\gamma} + |z|)|} = U(\mu, \gamma)$$

holds.

Theorem 7.1 will be deduced by a perturbation argument based on Lemma 4.7, which has been proven in [26]. For completeness, we here reproduce the lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $A(z) = A_0(z) + A_1(z)$, where $A_0(z)$ (resp. $A_1(z)$) is compact and continuous in $z < 0$ (resp. $z \leq 0$). Assume that for some function $f(\cdot)$, $f(z) \rightarrow 0$, $z \rightarrow 0^-$ — one has*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} f(z) n(\lambda, A_0(z)) = l(\lambda),$$

and $l(\lambda)$ is continuous in $\lambda > 0$. Then the same limit exists for $A(z)$ and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^-} f(z) n(\lambda, A(z)) = l(\lambda).$$

□

Remark 7.3. According to Lemma 7.2 any perturbation of the operator $A_0(z)$ defined in Lemma 7.2, which is compact and continuous up to $z = 0$ does not contribute to the asymptotics (7.12). Throughout the proof of the following theorem we shall use this fact without further comments.

Let $T_\gamma(K, z)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $z \leq \tau(K, \gamma)$ the self-adjoint operator defined in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ by

$$(7.2) \quad (T_\gamma(K, z)f)(p) = -\frac{v(\gamma)}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\Delta_\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(K, p, z) \Delta_\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(K, q, z)}{E(K, \gamma; p, q) - z} f(q) dq.$$

Lemma 7.4. *The equality*

$$n(1, \mathbf{T}_\gamma(K, z)) = n(1, T_\gamma(K, z))$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\Psi : L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ be the operator given by

$$(\Psi f)(p) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(p, q) dq$$

and let Ψ^* be its adjoint.

One can easily check that the equalities

$$(7.3) \quad \Psi f = (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} V^{\frac{1}{2}} f \quad \text{and} \quad V^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} f = \Delta_{\gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(K, p, z) V^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \quad f \in L_2((\mathbb{T}^3)^2)$$

hold.

These equalities imply the equality $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}(K, z) = \Psi^* T_{\gamma}(K, z) \Psi$.

Since any nonzero eigenvalue of $\Psi^* T_{\gamma}(K, z) \Psi$ is an eigenvalue of $\Psi \Psi^* T_{\gamma}(K, z)$ as well with the same algebraic and geometric multiplicities, and $\Psi \Psi^* = I_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, we have

$$n(1, \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}(K, z)) = n(1, T_{\gamma}(K, z)).$$

□

Lemma 7.5. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(7.4) \quad E(0, \gamma; p, q) = \frac{1}{2}((1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)q^2) + O(|p|^4 + |q|^4)$$

as $p, q \rightarrow 0$ and for all $z \in (-\delta, 0]$

$$(7.5) \quad \Delta_{\gamma}(0, p, z) = \frac{v(\gamma)}{2\pi(1 + \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (np^2 - 2z)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(|p|^2 + |z|) \quad \text{as } p, z \rightarrow 0,$$

where $n_{\gamma} = (1 + 2\gamma)(1 + \gamma)^{-1}$.

Proof. The asymptotics

$$(7.6) \quad \varepsilon(p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + O(|p|^4) \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow 0$$

of the function $\varepsilon(p)$ yields (7.4). The definition of $m(k, \gamma)$ and the representation (5.4) gives the asymptotics

$$(7.7) \quad m(k, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma}{2(1 + \gamma)} k^2 + O(|k|^4) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields (7.5). □

Denote by $\chi_{\delta}(\cdot)$ the characteristic function of $U_{\delta}(0) = \{p \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |p| < \delta\}$.

Let $T(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_{\gamma}} + |z|)$ be operator on $L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with the kernel

$$-D_{\gamma} \frac{\chi_{\delta}(p)\chi_{\delta}(q)(n_{\gamma}p^2 + 2(\frac{K^2}{2M_{\gamma}} + |z|))^{-1/4}(n_{\gamma}q^2 + 2(\frac{K^2}{2M_{\gamma}} + |z|))^{-1/4}}{(1 + \gamma)q^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2(\frac{K^2}{2M_{\gamma}} + |z|)},$$

where

$$D_{\gamma} = \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi^2}, \quad n_{\gamma} = \frac{1 + 2\gamma}{1 + \gamma}, \quad M_{\gamma} = \frac{1 + 2\gamma}{\gamma}.$$

Lemma 7.6. *The operator $T_{\gamma}(K, z) - T_{\gamma}(\delta; \frac{K^2}{2M} + |z|)$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class and is continuous in $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $z \leq 0$.*

Proof. Applying the asymptotics (7.4) and (7.5) one can estimate the kernel of the operator $T_\gamma(K, z) - T_\gamma(\delta; \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ by

$$C[(p^2 + q^2)^{-1} + |p|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(p^2 + q^2)^{-1} + (|q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(p^2 + q^2)^{-1} + 1]$$

and hence the operator $T_\gamma(K, z) - T_\gamma(\delta; \frac{K^2}{2M} + |z|)$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class for all $K \in U_\delta(0)$ and $z \leq 0$. In combination with the continuity of the kernel of the operator in $K \in U_\delta(0)$ and $z < 0$ this gives the continuity of $T_\gamma(K, z) - T_\gamma(\delta; \frac{K^2}{2M} + |z|)$ in $K \in U_\delta(0)$ and $z \leq 0$. $\square$

Let

$$\mathbf{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{r}) : L_2((0, \mathbf{r}), \sigma_0) \rightarrow L_2((0, \mathbf{r}), \sigma_0), \mathbf{r} = 1/2 |\log(\frac{|K|^2}{2M} + |z|)|, \sigma_0 = L_2(\mathbb{S}^2),$$

$\mathbb{S}^2$ — being the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$, be the integral operator with the kernel

$$(7.8) \quad S_\gamma(t; y) = (2\pi)^{-2} \frac{u_\gamma}{\cos hy + s_\gamma t},$$

$$(7.9) \quad u_\gamma = \frac{1 + \gamma}{\sqrt{1 + 2\gamma}}, \quad s_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma},$$

$$y = x - x', \quad x, x' \in (0, \mathbf{r}), \quad t = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

and let

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(\lambda) : \sigma_0 \rightarrow \sigma_0, \quad \lambda \in (-\infty, +\infty)$$

be the integral operator with the following kernel

$$(7.10) \quad \hat{S}_\gamma(t; \lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\{-i\lambda r\} S_\gamma(t; r) dr = -(2\pi)^{-1} u_\gamma \frac{\sinh[\lambda(\arccos s_\gamma t)]}{(1 - s_\gamma^2 t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh(\pi\lambda)}.$$

For $\mu > 0$, define

$$(7.11) \quad U(\mu, \gamma) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} n(\mu, \hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y)) dy.$$

Lemma 7.7. *The function $U(\mu; \gamma)$ is continuous in $\mu > 0$, the following limit*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^{-1} n(\mu, \mathbf{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{r})) = U(\mu; \gamma)$$

exists and $U(\gamma) = U(1; \gamma) > 0$.

Remark 7.8. *This lemma can be proven quite similarly to the corresponding results of [26]. In particular, the continuity of $U(\mu; \gamma)$ in $\mu > 0$ is a result of Lemma 3.2, Theorem 4.5 states the existence of the limit*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^{-1} n(\mu, \mathbf{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{r})) = U(\mu, \gamma)$$

and the inequality $U(\gamma) > 0$ follows from Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 7.9. *The equality*

$$(7.12) \quad \lim_{\frac{|K|^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z| \rightarrow 0} \frac{n(1, T_\gamma(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|))}{|\log(\frac{|K|^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)|} = U(\gamma)$$

holds.

Proof. The space of functions having support in $U_\delta(0)$ is an invariant subspace for the operator $T_\gamma(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$.

Let $T_\gamma^{(0)}(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ be the restriction of the operator $T_\gamma(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ on the invariant subspace $L_2(U_\delta(0))$.

The operator $T_\gamma^{(0)}(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ is unitarily equivalent with the operator $T_\gamma^{(1)}(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ acting in $L_2(U_r(0))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_\gamma^{(1)}(\delta; \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)w(p) = \\ - D_\gamma \int_{U_r(0)} \frac{(n_\gamma p^2 + 2)^{-1/4} (n_\gamma q^2 + 2)^{-1/4}}{(1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)q^2 + 2} w(q) dq, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_r = \{p \in T^3 : |p| < r, \quad r = (\frac{|K|^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$.

The equivalence is performed by the unitary dilation

$$U_r : L_2(U_\delta(0)) \rightarrow L_2(B_r), (U_r f)(p) = (\frac{r}{\delta})^{-3/2} f(\frac{\delta}{r} p).$$

Denote by $\chi_1(\cdot)$ the characteristic function of $U_1(0)$. We may replace

$$(n_\gamma p^2 + 2)^{-1/4}, (n_\gamma q^2 + 2)^{-1/4}$$

and

$$(1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)q^2 + 2$$

by

$$(n_\gamma p^2)^{-1/4}(1 - \chi_1(p)), \quad (n_\gamma q^2)^{-1/4}(1 - \chi_1(q))$$

and

$$(1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)q^2,$$

respectively, since the error will be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator continuous up to $\frac{|K|^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z| = 0$.

Then we get the operator $T_\gamma^{(2)}(r)$ in $L_2(U_r(0) \setminus U_1(0))$ with the kernel

$$-D_\gamma \sqrt{\frac{1 + \gamma}{\sqrt{1 + 2\gamma}}} \frac{|p|^{-1/2} |q|^{-1/2}}{(1 + \gamma)p^2 + 2\gamma(p, q) + (1 + \gamma)q^2}.$$

By the dilation

$$\mathbf{M} : L_2(U_r(0) \setminus U_1(0)) \longrightarrow L_2((0, \mathbf{r}) \times \sigma_0), \quad r = 1/2 |\log(\frac{|K|^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)|$$

where $(Mf)(x, w) = e^{3x/2}f(e^xw)$, $x \in (0, \mathbf{r})$, $w \in \mathbb{S}^2$, one sees that the operator $T_\gamma^{(2)}(r)$ is unitary equivalent to the integral operator $\mathbf{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{r})$. The difference of the operators $\mathbf{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ and $T_\gamma(\delta, \frac{K^2}{2M_\gamma} + |z|)$ is compact (up to unitarily equivalence) and hence Lemma 7.7 yields the equality 7.12. Theorem 7.1 is thus proved. $\square$

Lemma 7.10. *For any $\gamma > 0$ the inequality $U(\gamma) > 0$ holds.*

Proof. It is convenient to calculate the coefficient $U(\gamma) = U(1; \gamma)$ by means of a decomposition of the operator $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y)$ into the orthogonal sum over its invariant subspaces.

Denote by $L_l \subset L_2(S^2)$ the subspace of the harmonics of degree $l = 0, 1, \dots$.

It is clear that $L_2(S^2) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \oplus L_l$, $\dim L_l = 2l + 1$.

Let $P_l : L_2(S^2) \rightarrow L_l$ be the orthogonal projector onto L_l .

The kernel of P_l is expressed via the Legendre polynomial $P_l(\cdot)$:

$$P_l(\xi, \eta) = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} P_l(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle).$$

The kernel of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y)$ depends on the scalar product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ only, so that the subspaces L_l are invariant for $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y)$ and

$$(7.13) \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \oplus (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma^{(l)}(y) \otimes P_l),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma^{(l)}(y)$ is the multiplication operator by the number

$$(7.14) \quad \hat{S}_\gamma^{(l)}(y) = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 P_l(t) \hat{S}_\gamma(t; y) dt$$

in L_l the subspace of the harmonics of degree l , and $P_l(t)$ is a Legendre polynomial. Therefore

$$n(\mu, \hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma(y)) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) n(\mu, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{(l)}(y)), \quad \mu > 0$$

It follows from (7.11) and (7.14) that

$$(7.15) \quad U(1, \gamma) \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} n(1, \hat{\mathbf{S}}_\gamma^{(0)}(y)) dy \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{mes}\{x : \hat{S}_\gamma^{(0)}(x) > 1\}.$$

By (7.10) we first calculate $\hat{S}_\gamma^{(0)}(y)$:

$$(7.16) \quad \hat{S}_\gamma^{(0)}(y) = \frac{u_\gamma}{\sinh(\pi\gamma)} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sinh[y(\arccos(s_\gamma(t)))]}{\sqrt{1 - s_\gamma^2 t^2}} dt.$$

Applying the equality

$$\frac{u_\gamma \sinh[y(\arcsin s_\gamma)]}{s_\gamma y \cosh(\frac{\pi y}{2})} = \frac{u_\gamma}{\sinh(\pi y)} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sinh[y(\arccos(s_\gamma t))]}{\sqrt{1 - s_\gamma^2 t^2}} dt, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

Since

$$\frac{\sinh[y(\arcsin s_\gamma)]}{s_\gamma y} \geq 1$$

we have

$$\max_y \hat{S}_\gamma^{(0)}(y) \geq \frac{u_\gamma}{\cosh(\frac{\pi y}{2})} \geq u_\gamma > 1$$

This together with (7.15) completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7.1. The proof of Theorem 7.1 follows from Lemmas 7.4, 7.6 and 7.9.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let the conditions of Theorem 4.6 be fulfilled. Then the proof of Theorem 4.6 follows from Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 6.5.

8. FINITENESS OF NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES OF $H_\gamma(K)$

Now we are going to proof the finiteness of $N_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ for $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$. First we shall prove that the operator $T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class.

The point $p = 0$ is the non degenerate minimum of the functions $\varepsilon(p)$ and $z_\gamma(p)$ (see Corollary 5.4) and hence $p = 0$ is the non degenerate minimum of $Z_\gamma(0, p)$ defined by

$$Z_\gamma(K, p) := \varepsilon(p) + z_\gamma(K - p).$$

Using the definition of $Z_\gamma(K, p)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ one can conclude that minimum point $p_\gamma^Z(K) \in \mathbb{T}^3$ of the function $Z_\gamma(K, p)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is non degenerate and the matrix inequality

$$B(K) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 Z_\gamma}{\partial p^{(i)} \partial p^{(j)}}(K, p_\gamma^Z(K)) \right)_{i,j=1,2,3} > 0$$

holds. Hence the asymptotics

(8.1)

$$Z_\gamma(K, p) = \tau_\gamma(K) + (B(K)(p - p_\gamma^Z(K)), p - p_\gamma^Z(K)) + o(|p - p_\gamma^Z(K)|^2) \text{ as } |p - p_\gamma^Z(K)| \rightarrow 0$$

holds, where $\tau_\gamma(K) = Z_\gamma(K, p_\gamma^Z(K))$. From Lemma 5.5 we conclude that for all $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $p \in U_{\delta(K)}(p_\gamma^Z(K))$ the equality

$$(8.2) \quad \Delta_\gamma(K, p, \tau_\gamma(K)) = (Z_\gamma(K, p) - \tau_\gamma(K)) \hat{\Delta}_\gamma(K, p, \tau_\gamma(K))$$

holds, where $\hat{\Delta}_\gamma(K, p_\gamma^Z(K), \tau_\gamma(K)) \neq 0$. Putting (8.1) into (8.2) we get the following

Lemma 8.1. *For any $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ there are positive nonzero constants c and C depending on K and $U_{\delta(K)}(p_\gamma^Z(K))$ such that for all $p \in U_{\delta(K)}(p_\gamma^Z(K))$ the following inequalities*

$$(8.3) \quad c|p - p_\gamma^Z(K)|^2 \leq \Delta_\gamma(K, p, \tau_\gamma(K)) \leq C|p - p_\gamma^Z(K)|^2$$

hold.

$\square$

Remark 8.2. Let the kernel function $v(\cdot)$ of the interaction operator v and the dispersion relation ε be real-analytic functions on the three-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^3$. In this case the minimum (critical) values of the function $Z_\gamma(K, \cdot)$ may degenerate only at a finite number of co-dimension 1 manifolds $\aleph_n \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Lemma 8.3. For any $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the operator $T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3 we have

$$(8.4) \quad \tau_{ess}(K) < E_{\min}(K), \quad K \in \mathbb{T}^3.$$

The operator $\hbar_\gamma(0)$ has a zero energy resonance. By Theorem 4.3 the operator $\hbar_\gamma(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $k \neq 0$ has a unique eigenvalue $z_\gamma(k)$, $z_\gamma(k) < m(k, \gamma)$.

Since $\tau^\gamma(K) = \min_{p \in \mathbb{T}^3} Z_\gamma(K, p)$ the function $Z_\gamma(K, p)$ has a unique minimum and hence for all $p \in \mathbb{T}^3 \setminus U_\delta(p_\gamma^Z(K))$ we obtain

$$(8.5) \quad \Delta_\gamma(K, p, \tau^\gamma(K)) \geq C > 0.$$

According to (8.4) for all $p_\gamma, p_\beta \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the inequality

$$(8.6) \quad E_{\alpha\beta}(K; p_\gamma, p_\beta) - \tau_\gamma(K) \geq E_{\min}(K) - \tau_\gamma(K) > 0$$

holds. Using (8.3), (8.5) and taking into account (8.6) we can make certain that for all $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $p_\gamma \in U_\delta(p_\gamma^Z(K))$, $p_\beta \in U_\delta(p_\beta^Z(K))$ the modules of the kernels $T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K); p_\gamma, p_\beta)$ of the integral operators $T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ can be estimated by

$$\frac{C_0(K)}{|p_\gamma - p_\gamma^Z(K)| |p_\beta - p_\beta^Z(K)|} + C_1,$$

where $C_0(K)$ and C_1 some constants. Taking into account (8.4) we conclude that

$$T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K)),$$

are Hilbert-Schmidt operators. □

Now we shall prove the finiteness of $N_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ (Theorem 4.5).

Theorem 8.4. For the number $N_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ the relation

$$N_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K)) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} n(1 - \nu, T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K)))$$

holds.

Proof. By Lemmas 6.5 and 7.4 we have

$$N_\gamma(K, z) = n(1, T_\gamma(K, z)) \text{ as } z < \tau_{ess}(K)$$

and by Lemma 8.3 for any $\nu \in [0, 1)$ the number $n(1 - \nu, T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K)))$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is finite. Then according to the Weyl inequality

$$n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, A_1 + A_2) \leq n(\lambda_1, A_1) + n(\lambda_2, A_2)$$

for all $z < \tau_{ess}(K)$ and $\nu \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$N_\gamma(K, z) = n(1, T_\gamma(K, z)) \leq n(1 - \nu, T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))) + n(\nu, T_\gamma(K, z) - T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))).$$

Since $T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))$ is continuous from the left up to $z = \tau_{ess}(K)$, $K \in \mathbb{T}^3$, we obtain

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \tau_{ess}(K)} N(K, z) = N(K, \tau_{ess}(K)) \leq n(1 - \nu, T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))) \text{ for all } \nu \in (0, 1)$$

and so

$$T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K)) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} n(1 - \nu, T_\gamma(K, \tau_{ess}(K))).$$

□

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DFG 436 USB 113/6 project. The last named author gratefully acknowledged the hospitality of the Institute of Applied Mathematics of the University Bonn, the SISSA (Trieste, Italy) and the University Roma 1(Italy).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn and T. T. Wu: A class of exactly solvable three-body quantum mechanical problems and universal low energy behavior, *Phys. Lett. A* **83** (1971), 105-109.
- [2] S. Albeverio, S. N. Lakaev, K. A. Makarov: The Efimov Effect and an Extended Szegő-Kac Limit Theorem, *Letters in Math. Phys.*, V. **43** (1998), 73-85.
- [3] S. Albeverio, S. N. Lakaev, K. A. Makarov, Z. I. Muminov: The Threshold Effects for the Two-particle Hamiltonians on Lattices, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **262**(2006), 91-115 .
- [4] S. Albeverio, S. N. Lakaev and Z. I. Muminov: Schrödinger operators on lattices. The Efimov effect and discrete spectrum asymptotics. *Ann. Henri Poincaré.* **5**, (2004), 743-772.
- [5] R. D. Amado and J. V. Noble: Efimov effect; A new pathology of three-particle Systems, II. *Phys. Lett. B*, **35**. No.1, 25-27, (1971); *Phys. Lett. D*, **5**. No.8, (1972), 1992-2002.
- [6] G. F. Dell'Antonio, R. Figari, A. Teta: Hamiltonians for systems of N particles interacting through point interactions, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **60** (1994), no. 3, 253-290.
- [7] P. A. Faria da Veiga, L. Ioriatti and M. O'Carroll: Energy-momentum spectrum of some two-particle lattice Schrödinger Hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. E* (3) **66**, (2002), 016130, 9 pp.
- [8] G. M. Graf and D. Schenker: 2-magnon scattering in the Heisenberg model, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **67** (1997), 91-107.
- [9] A. Ensen and T.Kato: Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time decay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), 583-611.
- [10] V. Efimov: Energy levels of three resonantly interacting particles, *Nucl. Phys. A* **210** (1973), 157-158.
- [11] L. D. Faddeev and S. P. Merkuriev: Quantum scattering theory for several particle systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [12] M. V. Fedoryuk: Asymptotics of integrals and series [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1987).
- [13] Yu. G. Kondratiev and R. A. Minlos: One-particle subspaces in the stochastic XY model, *J. Statist. Phys.* **87** (1997), 613-642.
- [14] S. N. Lakaev: On an infinite number of three-particle bound states of a system of quantum lattice particles, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **89** (1991), No.1, 1079-1086.
- [15] S. N. Lakaev: Bound states and resonances for the N -particle discrete Schrödinger operator, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **91** (1992), No.1, 362-372.
- [16] S. N. Lakaev: The Efimov's Effect of a system of Three Identical Quantum lattice Particles, *Funkcionalnii analiz i ego prilozh.*, **27** (1993), No.3, pp.15-28, translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.*
- [17] S. N. Lakaev and J. I. Abdullaev: The spectral properties of the three-particle difference Schrödinger operator, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **33** (1999), No. 2, 84-88.
- [18] S.N.Lakaev and M.Kh.Shermatov: On infiniteness of the discrete spectrum of Hamiltonian of system of three-particles (two fermion and other), *Uspehi matem.nauk* **54**(1999), 165-166.
- [19] V. A. Malishev and R. A. Minlos: Linear infinite-particle operators. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 143. AMS, Providence, RI.

- [20] D. C. Mattis: The few-body problem on lattice, *Rev. Modern Phys.* **58** (1986), No. 2, 361-379.
- [21] R. A. Minlos and Y. M. Suhov: On the spectrum of the generator of an infinite system of interacting diffusions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **206** (1999), 463–489.
- [22] A. I. Mogilner: Hamiltonians of solid state physics at few-particle discrete Schrodinger operators: problems and results, *Advances in Sov. Math.*, **5** (1991), 139-194.
- [23] Yu. N. Ovchinnikov and I. M. Sigal: Number of bound states of three-particle systems and Efimov's effect, *Ann. Physics*, **123** (1989), 274-295.
- [24] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III: Scattering teory*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1979.
- [25] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1979.
- [26] A. V. Sobolev: The Efimov effect. Discrete spectrum asymptotics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **156** (1993), 127–168.
- [27] H. Tamura: The Efimov effect of three-body Schrödinger operator, *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (1991), 433–459.
- [28] H. Tamura: Asymptotics for the number of negative eigenvalues of three-body Schrödinger operators with Efimov effect. *Spectral and scattering theory and applications*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math. Math. Soc. Japan*, Tokyo
- [29] D. R. Yafaev: On the theory of the discrete spectrum of the three-particle Schrödinger operator, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **23** (1974), 535–559.
- [30] X. P. Wang: On the existence of the N - body Efimov effect, *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (2001), 433–459.

¹ INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BONN (GERMANY)
E-mail address: albeverio@uni.bonn.de

² SFB 611, BONN, BiBOS, BIELEFELD - BONN (GERMANY)

³ CERFIM, LOCARNO AND USI (SWITZERLAND)

⁴ DIPARTMENT DI MATEMATICA, UNIV.OF ROMA 1 AND SISSA (TRIESTE)

⁵ SAMARKAND DIVISION OF ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UZBEKISTAN (UZBEKISTAN)
E-mail address: lakaev@yahoo.com

⁶ SAMARKAND STATE UNIVERSITY, SAMARKAND (UZBEKISTAN) E-MAIL: SLAKAEV@MAIL.RU